

# Houstonfirst<sup>SM</sup>

**DATE:** September 10, 2019  
**SUBJECT:** Letter of Clarification 2  
**RE:** Consulting Services: Dynamics 365 to Dynamics Cloud Upgrade  
**TO:** All Prospective Proposers

Houston First Corporation (“HFC”) issues this Letter of Clarification regarding the referenced Commercial Loan Broker RFP to answer questions timely received in the manner set forth below:

**Question 1:** Does HFC use sales orders?

Answer: No.

**Question 2:** Can HFC describe this modification in more detail?

Ledger Journal Posting

Description: Additional validation to the journal posting validation process, to make sure that only one *Fund* financial dimension value is selected within the same voucher

AOT Projects: \_tri\_APPaymentJournalPostingIssue  
HFC\_AllowMutipleFund\_Journal, HFC\_MainAcctBlockedForManualEntry,  
HFC\_SameVoucherFundDimension

Answer: This modification has been put in place to validate that no more than one Fund Financial Dimension is selected within the same voucher, see the example below:

(Assuming that the account structure is configured as MainAccount-Fund.)

The below entries are booked into one journal:

Voucher Number	Ledger Account (Main Account – Fund)
GL000015	100045-50098
GL000015	100045-50097
For this case, once the user hits validate and post, an error message should appear stating that multiple Funds have been selected for the same voucher. Fund numbers 50098 and 50097 are selected for the same voucher number GL000015	

Voucher Number	Ledger Account (Main Account – Fund)
GL000016	100045-50098
GL000016	100045-50098
This is a valid case since only one fund (50098) is selected for the same voucher	

Voucher Number	Ledger Account (Main Account – Fund)
GL000015	100045-50098
GL000015	100045-50098
GL000016	100044-50097
GL000016	100044-50097
This is a valid case since the same fund has been selected for the same voucher; voucher number (GL000015) has fund number (50098), and voucher number (GL000016) has fund number (50097)	

**Question 3:** Can HFC describe the following modification in more detail?

Reversal GL Transaction

Description: Modify the transaction text at the time of posting when the transaction is of type reversal

AOT Projects: HFC\_GLDescription

Answer: Once the user creates a reversal GL entry, the default text will be altered to add “Reverse” word at the beginning of the transaction text, as the screen shot below:

The screenshot shows the SAP Voucher transactions (1 - hfc) window for Journal number GLP00240508, dated 8/1/2019. The window displays a table of transactions with columns for Journal number, Voucher, Date, Year closed, Ledger account, Currency, Transaction amount in standard currency, and Amount. The first transaction is highlighted in blue, showing a reversal of -92,623.19 USD. The description field at the bottom is set to "Reverse LDG+Tundra+North" and the name is "PARKING EXPENSE".

Journal number	Voucher	Date	Year closed	Ledger account	Currency	Transaction amount in standard currency	Amount
GLP00240508	GLV009...	8/1/2019	<input type="checkbox"/>	522700-000-100...	USD	-92,623.19	-92,623.19
GLP00240508	GLV009...	8/1/2019	<input type="checkbox"/>	522700-000-200...	USD	-157,371.83	-157,371.83
GLP00240508	GLV009...	8/1/2019	<input type="checkbox"/>	200050-000-000...	USD	249,995.02	249,995.02

Note: “Reverse” word will be added to all the lines that belong to the voucher.

**Question 4:** Does HFC have intercompany transactions between current locations?

Answer: No.

**Question 5:** Does HFC need foreign currencies?

Answer: There is a Euro exchange rate in the current system, though it is disused.

**Question 6:** Does HFC need consolidation and eliminations?

Answer: No.

**Question 7:** Does HFC use purchase orders, purchase requisitions, or both?

Answer: Both. Workflows are enabled for Purchase Requisitions.

**Question 8:** Does HFC use inventory modules?

Answer: No.

**Question 9:** Does HFC use automated bank reconciliations?

Answer: No.

**Question 10:** For the B2G integration, what are the sources of data, from how many tables, and how many data fields?

Answer: Two processes are in place, one to export Purchase Order Payments, and the other to export Contract Payments. Both of the processes access the SQL directly using X++ code. Additional detail will be shared with the Proposer selected.

**Question 11:** Has a code assessment been run against a copy of the current production instance?

Answer: No.

**Question 12:** Would HFC permit service partner candidates to connect via LCS to a current copy of the production instance to perform a code assessment prior to providing a migration cost estimate and recommendation?

Answer: The production instance is not on LCS. Access will be granted to LCS for the new 365 instance conversion.

**Question 13:** How much data cleansing will be required?

Answer: If the entire AX history is migrated, then no extensive data cleansing is required. If only part of the historical data is migrated, then some cleansing is required. The approach to be used in historical data migration, and how much of it is to be migrated, will be based on the migration approach recommended by the selected Proposer.

**Question 14:** Are you going to update the pricing spreadsheet to include imbedded total/sub-hours and fees?

Answer: Due to time constraints, a revised spreadsheet will not be issued. Proposer should use their best judgments in completing the pricing form and will be evaluated accordingly.

Letters of Clarification become a part of the RFP automatically upon issuance and supersede any previous specifications and/or provisions in conflict therewith. By submitting their Proposal, Proposers are deemed to have received all Letters of Clarification and to have incorporated them into their Proposal.